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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Parasitic leiomyoma is a rare condition that may be spontaneous or iatrogenic in origin. Laparoscopic uterine surgery and tissue morcellation are procedures that may lead to the development of parasitic leiomyoma.

Case Description: We report the case of a 36-year-old woman with a history of a laparoscopic myomectomy and uncontained power morcellation who presented to our institution 6 years later with 2 large parasitic fibroids together weighing over 1 kg. We additionally present a review of the literature on development of parasitic leiomyoma after myomectomy, summarizing 35 published cases in addition to our own.

Conclusion: Parasitic leiomyoma is estimated to occur after 0.20 to 1.25% of laparoscopic myomectomies, and is diverse in its presenting symptoms and surgical findings. Tissue morcellation is suspected to be a risk factor in the development of this condition.
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INTRODUCTION

Parasitic leiomyoma is a rare type of extraterine fibroid that has been described in the literature since 1909.1 Although little is known about the incidence and risk factors of this condition, it is presumed to originate from pedunculated fibroids that spontaneously detach from the uterus and obtain an alternative blood supply from adjacent organs.2,5 In recent decades, however, an increase in the development and use of minimally invasive techniques has led to a potential iatrogenic origin of parasitic leiomyoma. The inadvertent dissemination of tissue fragments during laparoscopic surgical maneuvers, tissue manipulation, and extraction procedures creates the potential for fibroids to parasitize to extraterine sites.5 The first reported case of an iatrogenic parasitic fibroid was in 1997 and described a parasitic fibroid located in an abdominal wall port site months after a laparoscopic myomectomy involving the use of power morcellation.4 Since this first case was described, further reports of similar findings have been documented. We present a case of parasitic leiomyoma after a laparoscopic myomectomy, as well as a literature review on the subject.

CASE REPORT

A 36-year-old woman, gravida 2 para 2, was referred for surgical removal of symptomatic parasitic fibroids. Her medical history was notable for symptomatic uterine fibroids prompting a robot-assisted laparoscopic myomectomy 6 years before presentation. This initial surgery was
performed for a dominant 8-cm posterior intramural fibroid and was complicated by an estimated blood loss of 1500 mL. The fibroid was extracted in stages using open power morcellation and taking care to remove any residual fragments from the abdominal cavity. The patient required an intraoperative blood transfusion and postoperative admission to the intensive care unit. She was discharged home on postoperative day 2.

The patient became pregnant 3 years later and underwent a primary cesarean section at which time 2 parasitic fibroids, one 10 cm in diameter and the other 4 cm in diameter, were found attached to the abdominal wall. Both fibroids were pedunculated and excised by suture-ligating their vascular stalks to the anterior abdominal wall. The patient again became pregnant the following year, and a 12.5-cm left pelvic fibroid was noted on in early ultrasonography. At the time of repeat cesarean section, the fibroid had grown to 15 cm and was found to extend to the left upper quadrant with suspected attachments to the bowel. The decision was made not to remove the fibroid at that time. A subsequent postpartum magnetic resonance image identified two parasitic fibroids: one in the left pelvis, measuring 11.2 × 9.4 × 16.3 cm, and the other between the right lobe of the liver and right upper renal pole, measuring 4.1 × 3.8 × 4.5 cm (Figures 1 and 2).

The patient was then referred to our department for surgical removal of the parasitic fibroids. Upon laparoscopic survey, a large fibroid was found enwrapped in the sigmoid mesocolon, and the decision was made to convert to a laparotomy. The parasitic fibroid measured 17.5 × 13 × 10.5 cm and was retroperitoneal, with its vascular supply derived from the sigmoid mesenteric and left adnexal vessels. The mass was distinct from a normal-appearing uterus. A 5.2 × 4.3 × 3.2 cm parasitic fibroid was also identified in the hepatorenal fossa. Both of these masses were carefully separated from the adjacent organs and excised. The patient did well after surgery and was discharged home on postoperative day 3. Final pathology returned with benign leiomyomas with an aggregate weight of 1036 g (Figures 3–7).

**REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE**

Parasitic leiomyoma after myomectomy is a rare condition that is increasingly reported in the literature. We conducted a literature review by searching PubMed, Google Scholar, and Cochrane databases, using the terms leiomyoma OR fibroid OR uterine myoma OR abdominal myomectomy OR laparoscopic myomectomy AND parasitic. We excluded reports of other types of extraterine leiomyoma (disseminated peritoneal leiomyomatosis, benign metastasizing leiomyoma, and intravenous leiomyoma), patients without prior surgery, patients with a prior hysterectomy, non-English articles, abstracts only, or histopathology not consistent with leiomyoma.

Table 1 summarizes the clinical features of 35 previously published cases, in addition to our own. The patients’ ages ranged from 24 to 57 years, with most in their reproductive years. The majority (83%) had a myomectomy performed by laparoscopic approach; 93% involved morcellation. Review of the case reports did not specify whether morcellation was open or contained; however, the lack of specification and years of publication suggest that most were performed in an open, not closed, fashion. Six patients had undergone an abdominal myomectomy without morcellation, emphasizing that morcellation is not the only risk factor for parasitic leiomyoma. Common signs and symptoms in the reviewed cases included pain (40%) and bulk symptoms, such as a palpable mass, pressure, or abdominal distension (20%). Parasitic leiomyoma can also be asymptomatic, as was the case in 31% of patients in our review, the lesions were diagnosed within 1 month and up to 17 years after myomectomy.
all the case reports revealed a range of 1 to 9 parasitic leiomyomas per patient, ranging in size from 1 to 23 cm. Parasitic leiomyomas were discovered in various locations throughout the abdominal cavity, including the retroperitoneum.

**DISCUSSION**

Parasitic leiomyoma is estimated to occur after 0.20 to 1.25% of laparoscopic myomectomies and 0.12 to 0.95% of all laparoscopic uterine surgeries that involve morcellation.  

**Figure 2.** Axial MRI view of the right hepatorenal parasitic fibroid.

**Figure 3.** Large left pelvic parasitic fibroid enwrapped in the sigmoid mesocolon.

**Figure 4.** Parasitic fibroid enwrapped in sigmoid colon. The uterus is visible in the background.
Iatrogenic parasitic leiomyoma is thought to develop when dispersed tissue fragments obtain neovascularization to adjacent organs, although the exact mechanism of their localization and ability to thrive is still unknown. Most reviews have found a greater incidence of parasitic leiomyoma after myomectomy compared with hysterectomy (59–62.5% compared to 29.6–41% of iatrogenic cases). Their development is multifactorial and is likely related to greater use of morcellation after laparoscopic myomectomy vs hysterectomy, the younger age of patients undergoing myomectomy, and the potential added risk of fibroid enucleation causing dissemination of tissue fragments.

It is important to note that parasitic leiomyoma can also develop in a patient who has not undergone uterine surgery. This phenomenon is thought to occur when a pedunculated fibroid spontaneously detaches from the uterus. Conditions that restrict the blood supply to the uterus, such as gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH)

agonists, radiofrequency ablation, and uterine artery embolization may be associated with this process, as pedunculated fibroids seek an alternate blood supply. Whether iatrogenic or sporadic in origin, steroid hormones, and growth factors are thought to contribute to the formation of parasitic leiomyoma. Correspondingly, most cases are found in women of reproductive age. A few have also been described in postmenopausal patients receiving hormone replacement therapy.

Parasitic leiomyoma is often diagnosed as an incidental finding on clinical or surgical evaluation. It is estimated that 25% of all patients with parasitic fibroid are asymptomatic, although this value is likely underestimated, as patients without symptoms do not present for evaluation. When symptoms do occur, abdominal or pelvic pain is the most common (49%), followed by a mass sensation in abdomen or pelvis (11%), bleeding (10%), and abdominal distention (5%), among other symptoms (16%)

Parasitic leiomyoma is distinct from other extraterine leiomyomas, such as disseminated parasitic leiomyomatosis (DPL). DPL is a rare disease characterized by multiple nodules on the omentum and peritoneal surfaces similar to carcinomatosis. The etiology is unclear, but theories include the hormonal response of subperitoneal mesenchymal stem cells to undergo metaplasia and the proliferation of benign smooth muscle cells related to genetic alterations. A potential iatrogenic origin is also cited, with many cases occurring after laparoscopic morcellation, especially myomectomy. Benign metastasizing leiomyoma and intraabdominal leiomyomas are even rarer and have no known association with laparoscopic surgery.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study and Year</th>
<th>Cases (n)</th>
<th>Patient Age(s)</th>
<th>Prior Myomectomy Approach</th>
<th>Prior Morcellation</th>
<th>Presenting Symptoms</th>
<th>Months Since Surgery</th>
<th>No. of Masses</th>
<th>Largest dimension (cm)</th>
<th>Location(s) of Parasitic Fibroids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cho et al. 2016</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Abdominal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Omentum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucinella et al. 2011</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35, 48</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>24-72</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>1.8-6</td>
<td>Peritoneum, posterior cul-de-sac, rectus muscle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan et al. 2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pain, sepsis</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Right colon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epstein et al. 2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pain, pressure</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>Omentum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al. 2014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>Fallopian tube, small bowel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kho et al. 2009</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32, 33, 38, 40, 41, 43, 44, 50</td>
<td>Abdominal (2), laparoscopic (6)</td>
<td>No (2), yes (6)</td>
<td>Pain; bleeding; dyspareunia</td>
<td>2-204</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Anterior cul-de-sac, appendix, mesentery, mesocolon, retroperitoneum, sigmoid colon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumar et al. 2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Abdominal distension</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Omentum, peritoneum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larrain et al. 2010</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44, 57</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pain; asymptomatic</td>
<td>96-192</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7; unknown</td>
<td>IP ligament, posterior cul-de-sac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lertvikool et al. 2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>IP ligament, omentum, ovary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu et al. 2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35, 36</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dysuria; asymptomatic</td>
<td>74-41</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>Omentum, rectus muscle, small bowel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moon et al. 2008</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Palpable mass</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Abdominal wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrzenski 1997</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pain, palpable mass</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Rectus muscle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park et al. 2013</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Abdominal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mesentery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul and Koshy 2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Paracolic gutter, pelvis, port site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pezzuto et al. 2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>Perirectal peritoneum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribic-Pucelj et al. 2013</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>36, 37, 50, 50</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pain; asymptomatic</td>
<td>36-132</td>
<td>3-9</td>
<td>4-6</td>
<td>Abdominal wall, port site, pelvic, rectum, retroperitoneum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinha et al. 2007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Laparoscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>Diaphragm, rectovaginal space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Parasitic leiomyoma is the most commonly reported benign sequela of tissue morcellation, with a prevalence of up to 1% of cases.\(^5,12\) This rate is much higher than the prevalence of uterine sarcoma, the focus of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration’s statement discouraging the use of laparoscopic power morcellators in 2014.\(^13\) New techniques have emerged to improve the safety of tissue extraction including manual or power morcellation within a containment bag.\(^14,15\) This technique reduces the potential for tissue spillage and may reduce the risk of parasitic leiomyoma or malignant tissue dissemination. Other techniques that may reduce the likelihood of disseminated tissue includes maintaining an accurate fibroid count during laparoscopic myomectomy and carefully removing any dispersed tissue fragments during uterine surgery. Further studies are needed to assess the benefits of these techniques.

**CONCLUSION**

Parasitic leiomyoma may be sporadic or iatrogenic in origin. Laparoscopic myomectomy with tissue morcellation is a major risk factor for this condition. Careful attention to complete removal of all fibroids and tissue fragments, in addition to the use of a contained morcellation system, may reduce the risk of subsequent parasitic leiomyoma. It is important to counsel patients on the potential for this condition and to maintain an index of suspicion when a patient presents with new clinical symptoms after uterine surgery.

**References:**


