Ventral Hernia After Hand-Assisted Laparoscopic Nephrectomy

David Radvinsky, MD, Paul Chung, MD, Michael Kennedy, MD, Koby Herman, BS, Gainosuke Sugiyama, MD, FACS
Department of Surgery, SUNY Downstate University Medical Center, Brooklyn, New York, USA (Drs Radvinsky, Chung, Kennedy, and Sugiyama).
SUNY Downstate College of Medicine, Brooklyn, NY (Herman).

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The incidence of incisional hernias after hand-assisted laparoscopic surgery (HALS) ranges from 3 to 10%. Robotic-assisted ventral hernia repair is technically feasible and gaining popularity as an acceptable alternative to open repair.

Case Report: We report a case of a robot-assisted repair for an incisional hernia from a hand-assist port site in a 50-year-old man after a hand-assisted laparoscopic nephrectomy (HALN).

Conclusion: We present a novel approach for recreating the anterior abdominal wall using the robotic platform.
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INTRODUCTION

Violation of the fascial integrity of the anterior abdominal wall with hand-assisted laparoscopic surgery increases the risk of hernia formation. The incidence of incisional hernia after hand-assisted laparoscopic surgery (HALS) has been quoted as ranging from 3 to 10%, falling between standard laparoscopic surgery and midline laparotomy.¹,² Primary repair of this form of hernia is particularly difficult as a result of the attenuated fascia created by the herniation. Nonmidline HALS incisional hernias are a surgical problem of great complexity, but to date, little information on repair of this problem is available. Although technically challenging, repair of this condition is feasible with a laparoscopic approach and has been described with intraperitoneal and preperitoneal mesh placement.

The robotic platform allows surgeons to operate with a 3-dimensional view, perform complex wristed movements with improved ergonomics, and accomplish complicated procedures with more precision, flexibility, and control, compared with more conventional techniques. Robot-assisted laparoscopic ventral hernia repair allows surgeons to safely perform a lysis of adhesions, repair hernia defects primarily, create peritoneal flaps for preperitoneal mesh placement, and suture in place an intraperitoneal mesh without having to use transmuscular and transfacial sutures. We report a case of a robotic-assisted repair of a hand-assist site incisional hernia.

CASE REPORT

A 50-year-old man, body mass index (BMI) of 35, with a history of HALN for complications related to recurrent nephrolithiasis developed discomfort at the hand assist site in the right lower quadrant of his abdomen. The patient was referred to our clinic for evaluation of a ventral hernia and possible operative intervention. On physical examination, a hernia defect was palpable on the
lateral aspect of his right lower quadrant incision. A computed tomographic scan of the abdomen and pelvis revealed omentum and small bowel contents within the hernia sac, without evidence of obstruction or strangulation. The patient was brought to the operating room for elective robot-assisted laparoscopic ventral hernia repair. He was placed supine on the operating room table with both arms tucked and right side up at 30°. The abdomen was entered in the left upper quadrant with an Optiview technique (Optiview, Jacksonville, Florida, USA). Under direct vision, 2 additional 10-mm ports were placed laterally in the left mid abdomen and left lower quadrant (Figure 2). Inspection of the abdomen showed extensive adhesions surrounding the hernia defect, which included multiple loops of small bowel tethered to the anterior abdominal wall (Figure 3). After extensive adhesiolysis, the contents of the hernia were reduced, revealing an 6 cm defect. The edges of the external and internal oblique muscles were dissected, and the hernia defect was closed primarily with a running absorbable barbed sutures (Figure 4). Peritoneal flaps were created by dissection of the transversalis fascia from the internal oblique to allow a preperitoneal mesh placement. An 11 cm composite mesh composed of polypropylene and an absorbable hydrogel barrier was placed into the preperitoneal space (Figure 5). The mesh was secured with a circumferential absorbable running suture, resulting in 3 cm of peritoneum overlap from the edge of the mesh circumferentially (Figure 6). The fascia was closed at all port sites with a 0 Vicryl suture, and the skin was closed with running 4-0 Monocryl at all port sites. Estimated blood loss was minimal. Total operative time was 190 min, time on the robotic console was 146 minutes, and docking time was 8 minutes. An assistant was at the patient's side during the entire course of the procedure.

The postoperative clinical course was uneventful. The patient was discharged home the following morning. He has been seen for routine follow-up visits in the office and showed no adverse events 30 days after surgery.

**DISCUSSION**

Ventral incisional hernia is a common complication after abdominal surgery. Although the increase in the use of laparoscopic procedures has been associated with a reduction in the incidence of incisional hernias, these hernias still occur. The use of robotic assistance has been shown to improve the surgical approach to ventral hernias, allowing for a more precise and controlled repair.

---

Figure 1. CT scan findings suggestive of hernia at the prior hand-assist port site, with small bowel contents, but without evidence of obstruction or strangulation. R, rectus muscle; EO, external oblique muscle; IO internal oblique muscle; TA transverse abdominis muscle.

Figure 2. Port placement in relation to the hand-assist incision site.

Figure 3. Intra-abdominal inspection of the hand-assist site hernia, once the robot was docked. The small-bowel contents were reduced with initial insufflation. Adhesions of the small bowel to the perimeter of the hernia were reduced with adhesiolysis.
roscopic surgery has permitted a marked decrease in the rate of incisional hernia, this approach does not completely prevent the complication. Extraction sites and hand-assist sites require a larger incision compared to standard laparoscopic incisions. The overall incidence of incisional hernia after hand-assisted laparoscopic surgery is 3 to 10% and varies by location in relation to the midline.\textsuperscript{1,2}

In a retrospective analysis, Troxel and Das\textsuperscript{4} reviewed 50 patients who underwent HALN and reported on the postoperative incidence of incisional hernias. Of the 50 patients, incision hernias developed in 6% (3 patients). They concluded that such hernias have a multifactorial etiology, including patient’s BMI, comorbidities, smoking status, and functional status, but that obese patients are at higher risk. They also stated that interrupted closure of the incision was superior to a running polydioxanone suture (PDS) and furthermore, with the adoption of this technique, they had not experienced any additional hernias. They did not offer suggestions for repair, however. A recent retrospective analysis of the treatment of flank and lateral abdominal wall hernias advocates creating musculofascial flaps and performing a primary nonbridged mesh repair to recreate anatomical congruity.\textsuperscript{5} Another prospective review of kidney transplant recipients with flank hernias described a large posterior component separation with transverse abdominis muscle release with mesh placement in the retromuscular plane in a sublay fashion.\textsuperscript{6} Both groups reported decreased recurrence rates 3.4 and 9%, respectively, compared to the 11.4% reported by Sauerland et al.\textsuperscript{7} in their comparison of open to laparoscopic repair of primary ventral hernias.

SAGES provides evidence-driven guidelines for laparoscopic repair of ventral hernias.\textsuperscript{8} Multiple sources have supported a tension-free primary closure of the hernia defect with mesh reinforcement, citing a reduced seroma rate, reduced recurrence rate, and improved abdominal wall integrity and contour. A minimum of 3-cm and maximum of 5-cm overlap of mesh is recommended to reduce tension at the fixation points and provide adequate coverage. The use of suture fixation over tacking has been shown to be more cost effective, with less postoperative pain and a quicker return to activity with a comparable recurrence rate. Nonmidline ventral hernias are rarer than midline ventral hernias, and their surgical management is

---

**Figure 4.** After adhesiolysis, the external and internal oblique muscles were dissected from the peritoneum, and the hernia defect was repaired primarily before mesh placement.
more complex because of the various anatomic locations. There are no current guidelines for repair specific to nonmidline or lateral incisional hernias based on prospective data. These hernias are currently repaired with the same principles as are used for midline ventral hernias.

The robotic platform offers an expanded field of view and has the added benefit of wristed movements, which allows the surgeon to perform intra-abdominal suturing, with fixation of the mesh to the peritoneum and/or posterior fascia. This method allows the surgeon to avoid using tacks or transabdominal sutures for suture fixation, which are often cited as the culprit for laparoscopic postoperative pain. The ergonomic features of the robot also give the surgeon the opportunity to create a peritoneal flap for preperitoneal mesh placement to prevent mesh-related intra-abdominal complications and reduce the risk of migration and lateral detachment, which are often cited as the cause of recurrence.

To date, there are no case reports on the use of the robotic platform to repair a nonmidline ventral hernia from a hand-assist site. We used the guidelines and principles set forth for repair of midline ventral hernias and literature on lateral hernia repair to guide us in our repair. We were able to close the defect primarily, after raising musculofascial flaps, to recreate the abdominal wall contour. We were able to provide adequate mesh coverage beyond the defect and secure the mesh in a sublay fashion with a running intra-abdominal suture. We included the peritoneum in our fixation to cover the edge of the mesh, as this is often cited as the area of recurrence. Although the approach is feasible, prospective studies are needed to determine whether the robotic platform confers long-term benefits for patients who present with HALN incisional hernia repairs compared to standard laparoscopic repair.
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