Infected Urachal Cyst Following Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: In an era of ultrasonography and computed tomography (CT), urachal remnants have been detected with increasing frequency. If these remnants become infected, they can mimic a variety of intra-abdominal pathologies. We present the case histories of two patients with an infected urachal cyst that developed after laparoscopic cholecystectomy and necessitated excision.

Case Descriptions: Patient 1: Four years after a laparoscopic cholecystectomy, a 36-year-old man presented with dysuria, abdominal pain, leukocytosis, and fluid leakage from the umbilical port site. CT imaging revealed an infected urachal cyst with an adherent loop of sigmoid colon. Antibiotic treatment preceded laparoscopic excision of the urachal cyst with partial cystectomy and closure of the sigmoid-to-urachus fistula. In a 3-year follow-up, there was no recurrence. Patient 2: A 68-year-old woman presented 11 months after laparoscopic cholecystectomy with abdominal pain, intermittent fever, and leukocytosis. CT imaging revealed an infected urachal cyst with an associated phlegmon in the abdominal wall. Antibiotic treatment preceded two incision-and-drainage procedures. Six weeks later, the patient underwent robotic excision of the urachal cyst and partial cystectomy. A 3-year follow-up showed no recurrence.

Discussion: The urachus can be punctured during laparoscopic periumbilical port placement and convert into a draining sinus or abscess. Subsequent infection can present with umbilical drainage, abdominal pain, urinary symptoms, and systemic infection. Surgical excision is a reasonable option once the acute infection has been treated. Any images that include the urachus should be reviewed before procedures involving an umbilical port, as puncture of urachal cysts may increase the risk of infection.
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INTRODUCTION

During the first 10 weeks of fetal development, urine drains from the bladder to the umbilicus through the urachus. Subsequently, during the 12th week of development, the urachus is obliterated, giving rise to a fibrous cord between the bladder and umbilicus called the median umbilical ligament. This process corresponds with the development of the urethra for bladder drainage.1,2

Urachal abnormalities, caused by aberrant sealing of the urachus during fetal development,3 can present as one of four subtypes: patent urachus, urachal sinus, urachal cyst, and urachal diverticulum.1,2,4 Before the routine use of modern imaging techniques, the prevalence of urachal cyst was unclear because it is usually asymptomatic and is found incidentally on abdominal ultrasonography or computed tomographic (CT) scan.2 However, in a study of children who underwent ultrasonography, urachal remnants were present in 99% of cases.5 Furthermore, in another study, the persistence of urachal remnants was reported in 61.7% of patients <16 years, 49.0% of those 16 to 35 years, 20.4% of those 36 to 55 years, and 3.7% of
those ≥56 years of age. These findings concur with those in an autopsy study in which urachal remnants were found on microscopic examination in 32% of cases. The data support the suggestion that the urachus is a regressive structure that undergoes involution during a normal lifespan and is often present in normal adults.

If a urachal cyst is ruptured during port placement in laparoscopic surgery, an abscess or a persistently draining sinus may develop that can be difficult to eradicate. Affected patients may present with fluid leakage from the umbilical port site; abdominal pain; urinary symptoms, such as hematuria or dysuria; and signs of systemic infection. CT imaging is usually necessary for diagnosis and surgical planning. Incision and drainage of the infected cyst may temporarily resolve the acute infection, but surgical excision is usually necessary to prevent recurrence. The procedure can be performed with laparoscopic or robotic surgical techniques.

We report two cases of patients with a urachal cyst that became infected after laparoscopic cholecystectomy and was treated definitively with robotic excision including partial cystectomy. Neither patient had a recurrence of the urachal infection during a 3-year follow-up.

**CASE DESCRIPTIONS**

**Patient 1**

Immediately after undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy, a 36-year-old, morbidly obese man reported the leakage of clear fluid from the site of the periumbilical port. The drainage was intermittent for 4 years before presentation, but no treatment was initiated. In addition, the patient reported dysuria and increasing abdominal pain for 6 to 12 months before the consultation. He presented acutely with signs of systemic infection, including low-grade fevers, tachycardia, and leukocytosis. Subsequent CT imaging revealed an infected urachal cyst with a suspected fistula to the adjacent area of the sigmoid colon (Figure 1). A robot-assisted laparoscopic excision of the urachal cyst was performed successfully, in addition to a partial cystectomy and closure of the sigmoid colon-to-urachus fistula. Pathologic analysis revealed inflammatory changes, but no evidence of malignancy. The patient had no recurrence of urachal infection in a 3-year follow-up.

**Patient 2**

A 68-year-old woman underwent a laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. She presented to our clinic 11 months later with symptoms of lower abdominal pain, erythema across the lower abdomen, and low-grade fevers. CT imaging revealed a suprapubic phlegmon measuring 5.4 × 4.8 cm, extending from the bladder dome to the left rectus muscle and umbilicus (Figure 2).

An interventional radiologist performed CT-guided abscess drainage. Infection with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) was treated with intravenous vancomycin (1500 mg, twice daily) and linezolid (500 mg, twice daily). One week later, open surgical drainage of the abscess was necessary for better control of the infection. CT imaging demonstrated the resolution of the abscess and the presence of a residual urachal lesion.

Six weeks after initial presentation, the patient underwent robotic excision of the urachal lesion with partial cystectomy and closure of the anterior abdominal wound. Pathology was negative for malignancy, and the patient had...
no recurrence of the abscess during 3 years of follow-up; however, she had repeated urinary tract infections that were treated with antibiotics.

DISCUSSION
Urachal cysts typically arise as a result of desquamation and degeneration of the urachal epithelium, becoming symptomatic if they drain or are infected.\(^2\) During the periumbilical port placement in laparoscopic surgery, the cyst may be inadvertently punctured, leading to the introduction of bacteria and infection or a persistently draining sinus. In rare cases, spontaneous rupture of an infected cyst into the abdominal cavity occurs and can result in sepsis or generalized peritonitis,\(^19\) which can be severe.\(^11,20,21\) If the bladder is involved, urinary tract infections and symptoms may also be present.\(^2\) Although diagnosis is typically made with CT imaging, ultrasonography can also be useful.\(^2\) In addition, cystoscopy can play a role in determining whether the urachal remnant involves the bladder dome. Draining the abscess may temporarily treat the infection, but recurrence is common, and complete excision of the urachal remnant with partial cystectomy is recommended, to prevent recurrence. Because there is a 30% reinfection rate, complete excision of the cyst wall is important.\(^22\) In addition, carcinoma may develop in cases of an unresected or incompletely resected urachal remnant.\(^23,24\) One of the most extensive reviews of urachal cysts to date reported that urachal masses in 66 of 130 patients (50.8%) were malignant.\(^4\) If the urachal remnant is completely excised, we do not believe follow-up imaging is necessary to rule out a recurrence. Surgical treatment options include open, laparoscopic, and robotic techniques.\(^14–17\)

Patients with a quiescent and asymptomatic urachal cyst treated by laparoscopic surgery present a unique challenge, in that the cyst may be ruptured inadvertently and become infected during the placement of the periumbilical port used in the procedure. Whenever available, preoperative images should be reviewed carefully for signs of a urachal remnant. However, although a significant number of adult patients have urachal remnants, the incidence of the complication described in this case study is low. We do not believe that routine imaging is warranted, from both safety and cost perspectives. If a urachal remnant is present, urologic consultation should be considered, and, if possible, laparoscopic port placement should be modified to avoid the remnant. If port placement above rather than below the umbilicus is just as effective for a given surgeon, this option should be considered. Furthermore, if a urachal remnant is identified preoperatively, we recommend peritoneal access above the umbilicus or at Palmer’s point.

Proper treatment of an infected urachal cyst is critical to the successful recovery of the patient. Investigational imaging before laparoscopic surgery may help to avoid the puncture of an asymptomatic urachal cyst during laparoscopic periumbilical port placement. Our patients underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy at 11 months and 4 years before presentation. Patient 1 experienced occasional umbilical drainage after the surgery—a likely indication of conversion of a urachal cyst to a urachal sinus. Although it may not be possible to screen all patients before placement of the laparoscopic port, images are often already available and should be reviewed before surgery. Unfortunately, in both of our cases, no useful preoperative images were available. Ultrasonographic images generally do not include the pelvis in patients presenting with upper abdominal complaints.

Our case reports highlight several important concerns relevant to patients who undergo laparoscopic surgery. In both patients, the placement of a periumbilical port during laparoscopic surgery was the likely cause of the puncture and infection of a latent, asymptomatic urachal cyst. Subsequent symptoms of abdominal discomfort did not immediately elicit an accurate diagnosis. If available, review of imaging to identify urachal lesions before periumbilical port placement is recommended, and care should be taken during the placement of laparoscopic periumbilical ports to avoid urachal anomalies if present.

CONCLUSIONS
Infected urachal cysts can present after laparoscopic port placement. Acute treatment of the infection is necessary. However, long-term control often requires surgical excision. Effective treatment options are available, but prevention of these infections would be ideal. Although it may not be possible to screen all patients before laparoscopic periumbilical port placement for urachal lesions, images are often available and should be reviewed, to avoid complications that arise from a ruptured urachal cyst.
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