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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Surgery for morbid obesity is constantly gaining popularity around the world, and laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding is among the most accepted standard procedures. Lately, growing evidence for long-term complications has been reported with this particular technique. While band erosion is described in ~1% of patients, complete transmural migration is scarce but may lead to acute intestinal obstruction.

Case Description: We present the case of a 55-year-old man with the rare but potentially life-threatening late complication of a complete band migration and consecutive intestinal obstruction 12 years after laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding. Intraoperative findings showed ischemic pressure ulcers and kinking of a small bowel loop due to adhesions and the migrated band device; thus, partial jejunal resection was necessary. The development of a pronounced wound infection required prolonged ambulatory wound care, but ultimately there was no permanent morbidity.

Discussion: Our report aims to raise awareness of possible long-term problems in bariatric surgery, especially after gastric banding. It demonstrates the importance of continuous supervision of obesity patients including regular assessments to avoid and detect complications even years after surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity and related comorbidities such as type II diabetes and hypertension show an increasing prevalence. Several bariatric procedures have emerged, with adjustable gastric banding being a reasonably safe and widely distributed treatment for this worldwide epidemic.1 Band erosion occurs infrequently (~1%2–8), whereas jejunal obstruction due to a completely migrated band device is extremely rare. To the best of our knowledge, at present there are only 3 comparable cases available by a PubMed literature search.2,9,10 We describe the case of a gastric band migration 12 years after implantation and 8 years after explantation of the port and catheter.

Our report details interesting intraoperative findings and emphasizes the importance of periodic assessments for patients with a history of obesity surgery to avoid and detect long-term sequelae particularly after laparoscopic gastric banding.

CASE REPORT

A 55-year-old man had received a series of surgical interventions for morbid obesity at one of our teaching hospit...
tals that has an emphasis on bariatric surgery. With an initial body mass index of 61 kg/m², he underwent a vertical banded gastroplasty in 1989, but a stapler line insufficiency required reoperation in 1992. After temporary weight loss to a body mass index of 50 kg/m², he started to regain weight due to recurrence of the stapler line insufficiency. Consequently, he underwent laparoscopic gastric banding with the Swedish adjustable gastric band (SAGB, Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Norderstedt, Germany) in 2000. Thereafter, his body mass index reduced to 50 kg/m² again, but he could not manage to lose any further weight.

The patient's comorbidities include high blood pressure, hypercholesterolemia, type 2 diabetes, and coronary artery disease with subacute myocardial infarction and consecutive application of a drug-eluting stent through percutaneous coronary intervention in 2009.

He was first diagnosed with a gastric band migration in 2004 when he presented to the same department with pancreatitis and consecutive upper abdominal pain. After recovery from this acute episode, endoscopic salvage by cutting and extraction of the band was attempted 1 month later but failed due to the still largely intramural position of the device. Therefore, only the port and part of the catheter were disconnected and removed under general anesthesia through a small cutaneous incision. Because the patient was asymptomatic, a timed control endoscopy within several weeks was organized, but unfortunately the patient did not keep this appointment. It was more than 2 years later when he next sought medical advice for a subcutaneous infection of the remaining catheter. This abscess was incised, and the remnant catheter was further shortened, which subsequently led to successful wound healing. The surgical department of our associated teaching hospital once more aimed for endoscopic or laparoscopic extraction of the gastric band device. However, due to the absence of symptoms, the patient again did not attend this appointment. Contrary to usual standards of care, neither further contact was induced by the other hospital nor did the patient present to another consultation independently within the following years.

In July 2012, he was readmitted to the same hospital with a sudden onset of diffuse midabdominal pain 3 hours after consumption of a meal with mushrooms. Conservative management in terms of food restriction and analgesia was initiated due to clinical and radiological signs of a small-bowel obstruction but resulted in no improvement. Despite placement of a nasogastric tube, the patient showed ongoing vomiting and abdominal discomfort, so he was finally transferred to the surgical department at our university hospital 1 day later. On admission, he was stable and afebrile with only mild pain in the periumbilical region. Symptoms were persistent even after appropriate bowel movement, so computed tomography was conducted. Computed tomography scan showed a 7-cm mass at the ventral abdominal wall with adherent, obstructed small intestine and intraluminal position of the completely migrated gastric band device (see Figure 1).

Consequently, we opted for surgery and conducted midline laparotomy, which revealed an inflammatory mass (histologically a fat necrosis) with adherent jejunal loops (see Figures 2–4). A bowel kink at this location caused the migrated band to obstruct the intestinal lumen with residual food remnants, including the previously mentioned mushrooms, acting as a bezoar. This resulted in ischemic damage to the bowel wall (pressure ulcers); thus, partial jejunum resection was necessary.

Intraoperative gastroscopy confirmed the insufficient stapler line after vertical banded gastroplasty but showed no signs of residual ulcers or perforations in the former area of the gastric banding. This supports our theory that total band migration must have occurred long before this acute episode.

The early postoperative course was uneventful, until on day 6 after surgery, when the patient developed a fever due to a relatively distinct surgical site infection. This required a 5-day course of antibiotics and extended local irrigation beyond the patient's discharge, which took place on the 17th postoperative day. Ten months later
after extensive recurrent wound care at our outpatient department, including intermittent negative pressure therapy, the surgical site infection finally resolved. No further morbidity was observed.

**DISCUSSION**

Laparoscopic gastric banding can be an effective treatment for morbid obesity, but it shows varying popularity in different countries. Although it is considered a procedure with a reasonable surgical risk in terms of short-term morbidity, there is growing evidence for rather frequent long-term problems and a higher failure rate concerning successful weight loss when compared with more invasive procedures such as Roux-en-Y gastric bypass or sleeve gastrectomy.11–13

During surgery, the band is placed around the proximal part of the stomach and connected to a subcutaneous reservoir via a catheter.14 Adjusting the diameter of the gastric band by inflating or deflating this port through direct percutaneous puncture changes the passable width for ingested food.15 This aims for individual postoperative adaptation and optimal weight loss while avoiding side effects. Regular ambulatory assessments at specialized obesity clinics, implying contrast studies, are important to avoid complications and achieve reasonable restriction without obstruction while maintaining an appropriate level of patient comfort.15 In this regard, a bariatric surgery patient's compliance and follow-up adherence is not only an important risk factor for insufficient weight loss and increased morbidity, but it also shows great variability.16,17 This sometimes evokes potentially serious problems, as seen in our case.

Possible postoperative risks include both short- and long-term complications such as pouch and esophagus dilatation, ongoing vomiting, acid reflux, and lack of weight loss, as well as gastric banding migration. The incidence of the latter is described as ≈1% in several studies,2–8 but the mechanisms of erosion and migration are not entirely clarified. Several investigators8 suggest that either intraoperative events such as cautery injuries and microperforations or (more likely) postoperative ischemia due to excessive pressure at the stomach wall provoke tissue damage. However, complete band migration with consecutive jejunal obstruction is a rare finding. Besides our report, only a few cases have been published in PubMed-listed journals so far.2,9,10 Other possible causes for gastrointestinal obstruction associated with laparoscopic gastric banding include erosion and retrograde migration to the gastroesophageal junction18 or external stenosis due to the band tubing.19
Uncomplicated erosion mostly is a non-life-threatening condition, and after disconnection of the port from the catheter, there are several therapeutic options, including laparoscopic and endoscopic salvage or the “let loose technique,” to await natural passage of the band itself. Whatever approach is chosen, periodic clinical assessments of the patient, including repeated conduction of x-ray and/or endoscopy, are crucial to ensure an uncomplicated outcome. In our case, the patient did not show up for any further appointments at the other hospital after disconnection of the port and catheter from the gastric banding, even though he had repeatedly received information concerning the risk of possible complications. This led to the critical situation of a complete bowel obstruction and consequently to an extensive ambulatory treatment with a high burden for the patient, as well as for the health-care system.

Progressive treatment of morbid obesity through surgical procedures, especially those such as gastric banding, may result in a more frequent appearance of rare and late complications that must be taken into consideration by everyone who deals with bariatric surgery patients.1
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