Adverse Reactions to Titanium Surgical Staples in a Patient After Cholecystectomy
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INTRODUCTION
Titanium is a metal known for its biocompatibility and corrosion resistance.1,2 Its uses in the medical field range from long-term orthopedic implants and pacemakers to daily-use articles such as eyeglass frames and ornamental body piercings.3 Metal allergies are classically known to occur with nickel, gold, cobalt, and chrome.4 Titanium has not been fully recognized as an allergen; however, there have been a number of documented incidents of patients with a possible sensitivity to titanium.4-10 One specific case reported by Tamai et al. identifies surgical metal clips as the source of an allergen for a breast cancer patient who underwent breast-conserving therapy. The patient, who had a known history of atopic dermatitis and many allergies to foods and drugs, developed worsening atopic dermatitis that was ultimately caused by titanium surgical clips.6 We report here another case of possible allergic reaction to titanium surgical clips used in a patient for a cholecystectomy procedure.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 61-year-old woman presented to the clinic with a request to remove the titanium staples that had been placed from the cholecystectomy she had undergone 10 months prior. The patient had complaints of nonspecific symptoms such as a burning sensation in her left arm, conjunctivitis, irregular bowel movements, and sinusitis with postnasal drip in the morning, all of which began after her cholecystectomy. She had a history of localized allergic reactions to titanium plates from a prior ankle surgery, after which she experienced poor wound healing, erythema, and edema to her leg soon after. All of those resolved after removal of the plates. The patient said that her titanium allergy was disclosed to the surgeon before surgery, but the titanium staples were still used despite this knowledge. Soon after the surgery, the symptoms described above began to develop. Review of medical records showed that her memory lymphocyte immunostimulation assay (MELISA) had equivocal results for titanium allergy. Because she had these symptoms of discomfort and a history of adverse reactions to titanium in addition to the equivocal results, it was decided to proceed with the removal surgery. A radiological study of her abdomen revealed 9 titanium clips in the right upper quadrant of her abdomen, which were removed laparoscopically.

RESULTS
The patient tolerated the procedure well. On postoperative day 1, she reported diminished conjunctival swelling. Three days postoperatively, she reported a decrease in postnasal drip and sinus congestion, and after 1 week, her sinusitis had resolved completely. In addition, during her 1-week postoperative visit, she described resolution of the burning sensation to her left arm as well as regular and formed bowel movements. After 1 month postsurgery, she reported being symptom free.

DISCUSSION
The symptoms of titanium allergy have been reported to manifest in a number of different ways: eczema and erythema over a pacemaker’s implantation site,4 failed orthopedic implants with poor fracture healing and localized eczema,5,7 skin irritation around percutaneous implants for hearing aids,8 and gingival hyperplasia from intraoral titanium implants9 A few studies have speculated that the allergies could be caused by impurities of the titanium materials rather than the titanium itself.10,11 Another hy-
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Discomfort was caused by titanium allergy. Her surgery, which led us to believe that some of her symptoms can warrant removal of the offending material from the patient to resolve symptoms.

The symptoms experienced by the patient in this case are different from that of previously reported cases, and some may not be completely attributed to titanium sensitivity. However, the patient had an equivocal MELISA test result, and with her history of reaction to titanium ankle plates, it was decided to proceed with the removal of the staples. The patient had relief of a majority of her symptoms after her surgery, which led us to believe that some of her discomfort was caused by titanium allergy.

CONCLUSION

Titanium allergy testing is still being investigated by the medical community. It is worth the consideration to test for this allergy and other metals if the patient presents with irritation after being exposed to titanium. Removal or replacement of the titanium implant would then be appropriate treatment.

References: